Application No. 10/822,103 Supplemental Amendment dated December 7, 2010 Reply to Office Action dated June 16, 2010

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
DEC 0 7 2010

REMARKS

The foregoing amendments do not change the issues addressed in the Applicant's paper previously filed in response to the June 16, 2010 Office Action.

The two newly added dependent claims 24 and 25 are allowable at least for the reasons that their respective base claims are allowable, as discussed in the Applicant's paper filed November 16, 2010. Support for the two new claims, which are directed to aggregated truckload shipments, is found in the last full paragraph of page 14 of the specification as filed.

Newly added claim 26 represents an amendment to claim 1 as examined in the June 16, 2010 Office Action (i.e., as filed March 2010) as follows:

A process for effectuating shipment appointment-making the making of pick-up or delivery appointments for an aggregated truckload shipment comprised of one or more orders of goods between two or more buyers and sellers and optionally their third party providers (buyers, sellers and third party providers collectively called Partners) in a supply community which includes one or more ship locations from which goods are picked up or to which goods are delivered in aggregated truckload shipments, the process including:

providing an internet website application that is configured and enabled to allow each ship location to individually create, configure and maintain an appointment calendar that is unique to each ship location and to allow one or more Partners to contemporaneously access the internet website application and query the appointment calendar for any ship location to determine available pick-up and delivery dock times for that ship location;

receiving from the one or more Partners appointment reservation requests for <u>pick-up and/or delivery of aggregated truckload shipments at</u> any of said one or more ship locations; and

outputting a refusal or acceptance of appointment reservation requests submitted to any of said one or more ship locations.

Application No. 10/822,103 Supplemental Amendment dated December 7, 2010 Reply to Office Action dated June 16, 2010

RECLIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
DEC 0 7 2010

Support for the "aggregated truckload shipment" language is found in the last full paragraph of page 14 of the specification as filed. New dependent claims 27 - 34 merely duplicate the features of dependent claims 2 - 5 and 7 - 10 already in the case.

New claim 26 is patentable for the same reason that claim 1 is allowable as presented in the paper filed November 16, 2010, i.e., at least because both claims address process for making of pick-up or delivery appointments for aggregated shipments; specifically in the case of claim 26, aggregated truckload shipments.

The Applicant believes that no fees are due with the submission of this Supplemental Amendment other than the fee for added claims, payment of which is made herewith. Any other fees that may be necessary to maintain the pendency of the present application may be charged to the undersigned attorney's Deposit Account No. 50-4380.

The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned should the Examiner deem it advantageous to further advance prosecution of this application.

Respectfully submitted,

December 7, 2010

Date

Frederick A. Spaeth

Reg. No. 33,793

Dilworth IP, LLC 2 Corporate Drive Suite 206 Trumbull, CT 06611 (203) 220-8496

Certificate of Transmission

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted

to the United States Patent and Trademark Office

on December 7, 2010

Paula V. Testani